Date of Hearing: June 24, 2025

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON ARTS, ENTERTAINMENT, SPORTS, AND TOURISM Christopher M. Ward, Chair

SB 456 (Ashby) – As Amended April 2, 2025

SENATE VOTE: 38-0

SUBJECT: Contractors: exemptions: muralists

SUMMARY: SB 456 exempts an artist who paints a mural, as defined, from the provisions of the Contractor's License Law (License Law).

Specifically, this bill:

- States that the License Law does not apply to an artist who draws, paints, applies, executes, restores, or conserves a mural pursuant to an agreement with a person who could legally authorize the work.
- 2) Defines a "mural' to mean a unique work of fine art that is protected by copyright, trademark, label, or patent that is drawn or painted by hand directly onto interior or exterior walls or ceilings, fixtures, or other appurtenances of a building or structure.
- 3) Specifies that a "mural" does not include painted wall signs.

EXISTING LAW:

- 1) Establishes the Contractors State License Board (CSLB) to license and regulate contractors and home improvement salespersons. (Business and Professions Code (BPC) § 7000 et seq.)
- 2) Establishes an enforcement division within the CSLB to rigorously enforce the License Law, prohibiting all forms of unlicensed activity and enforce the obligation to secure the payment of valid and current workers' compensation insurance, as specified. (BPC § 7011.4(a))
- 3) Establishes four branches of contractor classifications including A) General engineering contracting; B1) General building contracting; B2) Residential remodeling contracting; and C) Specialty contracting. Defines a "C specialty contractor" as a contractor whose operations involve the performance of construction work requiring special skills and whose principal contracting business involves the use of specialized building trades or crafts, as specified. (BPC §§ 7055 and 7058(a))
- 4) Exempts from the requirements to obtain a contractor's license under the license law, the work or operation on one undertaking or project by one or more contracts, if the aggregate contract price for labor, materials, and all other items is less than \$1,000 and does not require a building permit, as specified. (BPC § 7048(a))
- 5) Provides various exemptions to the licensure requirements of the License Law, as specified. (BPC §§ 7040(a), 7041, 7042, 7042.5, 7043, 7044.1, 7044.2, 7045, 7046, 7049, 7051, 7052, 7044)

FISCAL EFFECT: This bill passed from the Senate Appropriations Committee pursuant to Rule 28.8, meaning that it was determined by the Committee that bill's state cost impact are not significant.

COMMENTS:

- 1) <u>Author statement.</u> According to the author, "Murals are powerful tools for transforming neighborhoods. They are placemaking and defining in many cities, like Sacramento. Public art and murals are proven drivers of enhanced community and economic health, attracting tourists, supporting jobs, generating revenue, and improving public health outcomes. However, current law has led to confusion regarding licensing requirements for muralists. SB 456 clarifies that muralists are not subject to licensure and allows them the flexibility to continue sharing their artistic expression throughout our communities."
- 2) <u>Background</u>. Due to licensure requirements, cities across California have been instructing their public arts administrators to cease or stall the implementation of mural projects. This creates significant roadblocks for initiatives, like the State's Clean CA Program, which seeks to beautify public spaces like highways, local roads, parks, and pathways.

Requiring muralists to obtain a contractor's license imposes significant challenges. To qualify for these commercial licenses, muralists must accumulate four years of specialized experience under a licensed contractor, pass the Law and Business examination, and pay annual licensing fees. These requirements not only create unnecessary barriers to work on public art projects, but also expose city employees and artists to fines if such requirements are not met. Murals are considered works of art, protected under federal copyright laws and are fundamentally different from construction projects.

Standard practice of existing law previously identified the distinction between muralists and commercial painters. SB 456 clarifies standard practice of current law and adds "muralist", narrowly defined, to the list of activities in the Business and Professions Code that are not subject to licensure.

3) Statement in Support. According to the California Arts Advocates in support, "Muralists provide a vital form of public expression and employment, particularly for artists who have not found similar support in the traditional art world. For example, Chicano Park in San Diego's Barrio Logan Cultural District boasts the world's largest concentration of Chicano murals, featuring over 100 paintings on seven acres adorned with sculptures, gardens, and recreational spaces. In Sacramento, Wide Open Walls, a mural festival has helped to create over 200 murals throughout the city, enlivening neighborhoods and improving civic pride. Public art and murals are proven drivers of community and economic health, attracting tourists, supporting jobs, generating revenue, and improving public safety and well-being.

"Business and Professions Section 7026, as interpreted by the Contractors State License Board (CSLB), requires muralists to be licensed as contractors if they are painting murals on any permanent structure (roadways, indoor and outdoor walls, cement, or other permanent structures). The CSLB has recently issued public notices requiring muralists to obtain a C-33 (Painter and Decorator License) or a D-64 (Non-specialized contractor designation) for murals exceeding \$500 in value. Consequently, many cities have instructed their public arts administrators to halt or delay mural projects due to CSLB's actions, causing issues for projects under Clean CA and other initiatives."

- 4) <u>Statement in Opposition</u>. According to Fight Back In Sac in opposition, "The proponents of this bill are describing it as a narrowly tailored exemption, however it is not. Murals are not defined enough to be a separate form of painting. The question could easily be asked, what is the difference between a mural and a paint job that might have several hues, special tints, lines, stenciling, or texturing? How definitive does a coating need to be to be classified as a mural?
 - "This will become an issue when unlicensed painters just change their own advertisements to muralists, after all, who decides what is art and what is just a fancy form of paint application. The CSLB is going to find themselves in a position of art critic when complaints are filed against unlicensed painters or during their monthly sting activity. The bill widely classifies a mural as something that can be labeled, copyrighted, patented, etc., but any painter can copyright their work and replicate it for a number of succeeding projects. We believe this is going to create a costly and prohibiting task for both enforcement and judicial arms of government, which will likely result in the CSLB opting for non-enforcement of most painting and decorating class unlicensed matters."
- 5) <u>Double Referral.</u> Should this bill pass out of this committee, it will be re-referred to the Assembly Committee on Business and Professions.

6) Prior and Related Legislation:

- a) AB 2622 (Carrillo), Chapter 240, Statutes of 2024, increased the value of a construction project that triggers required licensure by the CSLB from \$500 to \$1,000 for labor and materials. Specifies that projects for which the threshold is \$1,000 do not require a permit to undertake and to be completed without employees.
- b) AB 1874 (Smith), of 2022, would have authorized a person who is not licensed as a contractor to advertise for construction work or a work of improvement if the total cost of labor is less than \$500 and the person states in the advertisement that they are not a licensed contractor. This bill died in Assembly Committee on Business and Professions.
- c) AB 899 (Cunningham), of 2021, would have required the CSLB to annually adjust the \$500 value of a construction contract that is not subject to regulation under the License Law, so long as the nature of the work performed is considered casual, minor, or inconsequential, to reflect the rate of inflation by CPI. This bill died in the Assembly Committee on Business and Professions.
- d) AB 304 (Archuleta), of 2021, would have increased the amount of authorized work, which exempts an individual from licensure requirements under the Contractors State License Law (License Law), from \$500 to \$1000. This bill died in the Senate Business and Professions Committee.
- e) SB 1189 (McGuire), Chapter 364, Statutes of 2020, created a B-2 Residential Remodeling Contractor license as a new classification of contracting business, defined the scope of a B-2 license and activities outside the scope of a B-2 license, and revised the definition of home improvement.

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

California Arts Advocates (Co-sponsor)

League of California Cities (Co-sponsor)

1AMprojects - SF Mural Company

A.B.O. Comix

American Federation of Musicians, Local 7

Armory Center for the Arts

Arroyo Grande Public Art

Art Lessons & Events

Arts Benicia

Arts Consortium

Arts Council for Long Beach

Arts Council of Mendocino County

Arts Council of Placer County

Arts Council Santa Cruz County

Arts for a Better Bay Area

Arts for LA

Arts Orange County

Arts4mMC

Atrium 916 Creative Innovation Center for Sustainability

Atthowe Fine Arts Services

Badger Branding

Bardola

Beautify Earth

Blue Line Arts

Brush of Creativity Art Lessons & Events

California Association of Museums

California Desert Arts Council

California Outdoor Hospitality Association

California Public Art Administrators

California Special Districts Association

California Travel Association

Californians for the Arts

Calle 24 Latino Cultural District

Cannabis Travel Authority

Casa 0101

CasaO

Celebration Theatre

City and County of San Francisco

City Garage

City of Albany

City of Beaumont

City of Bell Gardens

City of Belmont

City of Buena Park

City of Covina

City of Culver City

City of Escondido

City of Fullerton

City of Glendale

City of Lafayette

City of Laguna Beach

City of Milpitas

City of Moorpark

City of Morgan Hill

City of Mountain View

City of Norwalk

City of Oakland

City of Palo Alto

City of Pico Rivera

City of Point Arena

City of Rancho Cordova

City of Redwood City

City of Riverside

City of Sacramento

City of San Jose

City of San Luis Obispo

City of Santa Monica

City of Simi Valley

City of South San Francisco

City of Stockton

City of Thousand Oaks

City of Tustin

City of Vista

Community Rejuvenation Project

Creative Sonoma

DSTL Arts

EQUALdotBRACKET

Family Resource Center

Holistic Honu Wellness Center

Honeygirl Signs & Designs

Ink Dwell

Jaya King Inc.

Jumbo Jibbles

Junior Center Of Art and Science

Kim Maxwell Studio

L Start Murals

League of California Cities

Levitt Pavilion Los Angeles

Little Hill Real Estate

Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce

Marin Society of Artists

McKinleyville Family Resource Center

Mixed Media Mosaic Artist As Meraki Art

Museum of African American Art

Music Changing Lives

National Independent Venue Association of California

New Canon Theatre

Orange County Business Council

Performing Arts Center Corp

Plumas Arts

Pogo Park

Public Art

Riverside Arts Council

San Benito County Arts Council

San Diego Art Directory

San Diego Art Matters

Sara Daleiden Consulting

Shadowlight Productions

Sustainable Holistic Healing Arts & Activations

SLATE Art Consulting

Spike Island

St. Bonaventure High School

Stein's Hollow

Stockton Art League

SVCreates

Tahoe Art League

The Center for Cultural Power

The Museum of the San Fernando Valley

The TOaG Quartet

The Unity Council

Visit California

Voices of the Community

Weidnerca

West End Arts District

White Hall Arts Academy

Opposition

Fight Back In Sac

Analysis Prepared by: Brian V. Anderson, Jr. / A.,E.,S., & T. / (916) 319-3450