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SUMMARY: Today these advocates point to the continued absence of major roles for actors of color--particularly among the shows on CBS, NBC, and ABC--as "Exhibit 1" of their case documenting the exclusion of people of color from television. The FCC regulations forbid discrimination by licensees "because of race, color, religion, national origin or sex," and required licensees to adopt affirmative action plans targeted to attract people of color and women. The regulations provide for FCC review of each licensee's employment practices, and require licensees to keep extensive records documenting its recruitment and outreach practices, or a statistical record of the ethnicity and gender of applicants for each full-time job vacancy filled by the licensee. ...

TEXT:
[100]

We seldom appear in media as who we say we are, but as whites say we are. n2

I. Introduction

When the television networks announced their lineups for the fall 1999 season, the print media noted a striking phenomenon: of the twenty-six new shows announced by the networks, not one featured a lead character who was African American, Asian American, Native American, or Latino. Moreover, the new series sported virtually no supporting characters of color. Reacting to this news, one studio executive commented, "It's an awfully white world on television." n5

Several organizations of color reacted vociferously to the new lineups. The groups formed coalitions and attacked the problem using a variety of legal and nonlegal approaches. They demanded meetings with network television executives, announced plans to boycott the fall lineup and its sponsors, and sought congressional investigations and legislation. In addition, Kweisi Mfume, President of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), stated that his organization was considering filing legal challenges to the networks' broadcasting licenses based on the belief that the networks might be in violation of the 1934 Communications Act. n8
This Article explores the controversy surrounding the portrayal, or lack thereof, of people of color on television. The Article briefly documents the history of protests concerning the entertainment industry's portrayal, or lack thereof, of people of color, focusing on network television. It considers whether these protests are important because of the sociological and political influence of television, or are much ado about nothing. The Article examines the potential legal and political remedies for the situation, and assesses the chances for each remedy's success. Finally, the Article asks this critical question: What should be the objective(s) of getting more faces of color in front of, and behind, the camera?

II. The History of the Controversy

A. Prologue: Past Struggles over the Absence of Actors of Color on Television

The paucity of faces of color on the small and big screens, and the problems with the way those faces are portrayed when they are presented, have been issues since at least 1915, the year the film Birth of A Nation made its debut. Since that time, there have been continued difficulties and struggles around the issue of people of color on television. In 1951, the NAACP began a successful campaign to halt the television broadcast of Amos and Andy, a show which portrayed African Americans as lazy, scheming, and stupid.

In 1977, the United States Commission on Civil Rights issued a report entitled Window Dressing on the Set: Women and Minorities in Television, noting that the portrayals of women and people of color on television was a continuing problem. In the introduction to its report, the Commission stated that "throughout the early history of television programming, minorities were excluded from the screen except for certain stereotyped roles in programs of a particular type." In 1996, almost twenty years after that report, Reverend Jesse Jackson led a protest during the Academy Awards program, decrying the "historic practices of the motion picture and television industries which have limited the inclusion of people of color." Ironically, a few short months after Jackson led this protest, those same networks announced fall television lineups with Bill Cosby and Phylicia Rashad as the only African American actors on any major network show.

B. Is There Any Truth to the Accusation of Network "Whitewashing"?

1. The Arguments

This lengthy history has led advocates of color to allege that network television systematically excludes or misrepresents people of color. Today these advocates point to the continued absence of major roles for actors of color--particularly among the shows on CBS, NBC, and ABC--as "Exhibit 1" of their case documenting the exclusion of people of color from television. Particularly notable, say these critics, are the host of network shows set in the major metropolitan areas of the United States such as New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, and San Francisco, where characters work and play in cities that are, if you believe television, all white. Outside of a few ensemble casts, very few faces of color appear on television dramas. And dramas featuring casts comprised predominantly of actors of color were, before January of 2000, nonexistent.

These critics note that the pattern documented by the United States Commission on Civil Rights in 1977 persists in the year 2000--that when faces of color do appear on network television series, they are primarily on comedies where, more often than not, those faces play stereotypical, and at times buffoonish, roles. Even one of the most successful African Americans in television history, Bill Cosby, makes that argument:

At the level the networks support African-American, Latino and Asian shows, we've wound up with buffoonery that always hits the same note... It's overly sexual, obsessed with bodily functions and orifices below the belt. There's no sign of education or decision-making beyond sexual encounters, no cares past an apartment and a car.

Some entertainment industry figures assert that the attacks on network television programming are unfair and unjustified. They argue television has made great strides in reflecting the racial and ethnic diversity of this nation. In support of this position they cite shows such as Law and Order, Chicago Hope, NYPD Blue, and Homicide, where people of color play major roles.

Other entertainment industry figures grumble that people of color seek to have it both ways. They argue that on one hand racial minorities complain and threaten to boycott, because there are not enough people of color on televi-
sion. n27 On the other hand, those same groups complain and threaten to boycott any show featuring performers of

color that does not fit a certain [*104] mold. n28 These critics point to the short, loud and unlamented history of the

show The Secret Diary of Desmond Pfeiffer as their first exhibit. n29

The protests that at times greet such shows were lamented by actor Damon Standifer:

Time and again, TV shows that feature black leads are targeted for protests by these black activists...

... Every type of "black" show has been protested: If a show portrays wealthy black people, it's criticized for ignoring

the plight of poor ones. If a show features poor black people (as in "South Central"), it's criticized for stereotyping black

people as poor. The lack of interracial love stories is protested, but so, too, are interracial love stories (as in the recent

controversies over "Ally McBeal" and "ER"). Black sitcoms are protested (as in "The Wayans Bros."), and protests

against the lack of black voice-actors in animation are followed by protests against black-voice actors (as in "The PJs"

and the Jar Jar Binks character in "The Phantom Menace"). n30

Still other critics are beginning to suggest that people of color are overreacting to portrayals on entertainment shows,

suggesting that groups representing the interests of people of color are the only ones not to "get it" that network tele-

vison is only entertainment. n31 Executives in the television industry defend programs that portray minority ethnic

groups in unfavorable ways as [*105] progress in integrating faces of color into television casting. n32 People who

defend television programs that find humor in sensitive racial issues, such as The PJs, say that these programs are

harmless. n33 The defenders of these comedies ask, "are some black skins really that thin?" n34

2. The Statistics

The 1977 Commission report documented the underrepresentation of women and people of color in the television in-
dustry. n35 Statistics revealed that, out of a total of 5624 characters, 89.1% were Caucasian, with 10.9% characterized

as nonwhite. n36 As of 1970, the population breakdown of the United States was estimated as 83% Caucasian and

17% nonwhite. n37

While the composition of the population has changed significantly in the intervening decades, the ratio of white

actors to actors of color on television has not changed. In 1998, the United States Census Bureau estimated that African

Americans comprised 12.7% of the populace; Latinos (designated "Hispanic origin" in Census data) comprised 11.2%;

Asian and Pacific Islanders comprised 3.9%. n38 The Screen Actors Guild (SAG), using casting data for 1998 cover-

ning 43,686 roles on television, found a continued lack of people of color on television. n39 African Americans were

best represented numerically, [*106] obtaining 13.4% of all roles on television. n40 Asian American and Pacific

Island actors garnered only 2.1% of all roles cast, while Latinos accounted for 3.5% of the acting roles for that year.

n41 The SAG report was prescient regarding the controversy over the 1999-2000 network television schedules, noting

that "every ethnic minority except Asian/Pacific Americans saw a slight decline in the number of roles they captured."

n42

There are a number of factors that may contribute to the continuing dearth of television characters of color, and the

often stereotypical image of those characters that do appear. For instance, there is a lack of people of color behind the

camera, particularly among television writers, directors, and owners. n43 The United States Commission on Civil

Rights examined the composition of the management workforce at eight television stations. n44 The statistics revealed

that there were 101 Caucasian producers versus 22 producers of color. n45 Of the directors, 50 were Caucasians and 2

were nonwhites. n46 There were only 5 nonwhite writers, compared with 41 Caucasian writers. n47

In 1997, the figures for people or color working as producers, directors, and writers remained dismal. The Writers

Guild of America reported that people of color were awarded approximately 4% of the writing jobs available in Holly-

wood that year. n48 The Director's Guild reported that employment of people of color had dropped to 4%. n49 In

1999, the NAACP conducted a survey of television writers, finding that out of 839 writers employed on prime time

[*107] network shows, only 55 were African American. n50 Moreover, 83% of these writers were employed on

black-themed shows. n51

The numbers were even more dismal for Asian and Latino writers. The NAACP survey found only 11 Latino writ-
ers and 3 Asian American writers employed on prime time television series. n52 Julie Friedgen, President of the Lati-
no Writers Committee of the Writers Guild, explains: "Once these executives see a Latino name on a script, they just
automatically assume that they can only write Latino." n53

The absence of color is even more pronounced in the executive suites of the companies that produce and broadcast
television fare. Adonis Hoffman, Director of the Corporate Policy Institute, described the executive ranks of the ent-
tertainment industry this way: "Out of 1,000 top executives in the industry--including writers, directors, producers and
agents--a handful are black. This virtually homogeneous group of white males exerts control over a more than $20-billion industry that influences the culture, commerce and values of virtually every nation in the world." n54 This is not surprising, given that writing jobs have traditionally served as the track to becoming producers. n55

Another factor contributing to the problem is the lack of minority ownership of broadcasting licenses. In 1997, the
United States Department of Commerce reported that while African Americans and Latinos comprised approximately
27% of the U.S. population, members of these groups owned only 2.7% of the nation's 1342 commercial television sta-
tions. n56 This underrepresentation led U.S. Senators John McCain and Conrad Burns to propose legislation allowing
media companies to defer the capital gains tax on [108] the sale of a media company to an entity owned by people
underrepresented in the entertainment industry. n57 The proposal is similar to an FCC tax credit program that was
eliminated by Congress. n58 CBS has also taken up the challenge of increasing ownership of the media by people of
color, announcing the creation of an equity investment fund that will invest exclusively in minority-owned media enter-
prises. n59

3. How the Power Behind the Camera Determines Which Faces Appear in Front of the Camera

The absence of faces of color behind the camera creates barriers for people of color who make their living in front of
the camera. This is inevitable, given the nature of the creative and casting processes. Because people of color are not
part of the creative process for most shows, writers tend not to create roles for actors of color. n60 This deficit is diffi-
cult to overcome during casting calls.

Following the initial uproar over the 1999 fall television schedule, a story was reported that illustrated the source of
the casting problem. Reporters questioned Kevin Williamson, the creator of the new 1999 fall show Wasteland, about
the absence of black people in his vision of New York City. He responded that New York looked that way on his show
because that was "his experience of it." n61

Famed filmmaker Woody Allen exhibited an unrepentant attitude when asked how he could make so many movies
about Manhattan without African American characters:

I write about what it is that I know. I don't set out to be an equal-opportunity writer. My goal in film is not to represent
all strains of New York City... But the inattention to minorities has no other significance. It's something I never give a
moment's thought to. n62

Leslie Moonves, President and Chief Executive of CBS television, inadvertently identified the attitudes that lead to the
lack of diversity in [109] television casting. n63 In describing his efforts to improve the diversity of entertainment
programs broadcast on CBS, he stated that whenever the people at CBS consider a potential show, "we discuss the op-
tions for adding minority characters to that show, if a role, by necessity can be color-blind." n64

Warren Littlefield, former Entertainment Division Chief of NBC, was more cognizant of the casting problem. He
instituted a mandate that all shows produced for NBC include at least one person of color in the cast. n65 Littlefield
described this mandate as "really a Band-Aid. What you have to do is go deeper in the process, when the shows are be-
ing conceptualized." n66 Such "Band-Aid" measures are necessary because the creators of television series do not
think about including actors of color in new series. They must be reminded to consider whether a person of color might
fit the role. n67

One clear cause of the continuing lack of television roles for actors of color is casting decisions. The problem be-
gins very early in the process. "Breakdowns" are the entry point for most actors who are attempting to secure a role.
Breakdowns are, essentially, calls for actors based upon an initial reading of a script. n68 As described in one arti-
cle, the breakdowns summarize the roles in a screenplay and describe the type of actor or actress desired for each part.
In discussing the breakdowns, agents and casting directors agree that each character is assumed to be white unless there is a specific indication to the contrary. Of 160 listings for one day, 12 roles called for African American actors, 5 called for Latino actors, and 4 called for Asian actors. While actors of color can and do try out for roles designated for Caucasians, the hurdles they must overcome to get those roles are overwhelming. "Latinos must over-prepare for auditions so they can make the casting director "forget" a character was written with a white actor in mind... Many casting directors feel committed to the race agreed upon by the writers and studio executives, almost all of whom are white." 

Actors of color must force casting directors to forget television roles were not created "for them." Because the world experiences of writers and producers often exclude people of color, it is inevitable that the casting decisions for their shows reflect a monochromatic view of the world. If actors of color can break the barrier between "white" roles and roles for people of color, these actors could reshape society's view of America.

4. The Sociology of Television's "Neighborhoods"

Perhaps television shows are predominantly white because much of American society still envisions America that way. Certainly, some commentators suggest that television's lack of diversity is a reflection of American reality. Attorney James K. Autrey, in commenting on the television diversity controversy, argues that while Americans interact with people of different races in the work environment, they seldom interact on a social level. He notes that most television comedies are based in the characters' homes, while most dramas are set in working environments. From Autrey's vantage point, "television is accurately mimicking the segregated social nature of our country. Although we may work together in integrated environments, blacks and whites seldom spend leisure or social time together." 

Others argue that the American viewing audience should not expect to see shows where white professionals have friends and neighbors who are African American, Latino, Asian American, or Native American. Mary Mitchell, a Chicago Sun-Times journalist, expressed this view:

It is interesting, however, how much heat the networks are catching for simply projecting the segregated way many of us truly live... Most of us are friendly to people of different races on our jobs, but we go home to our whitewashed, or blackwashed, or brownwashed lives. While America is legally desegregated, it is not yet socially integrated ... So I can't see how programs about close friends in an urban city that exclude a black character in a leading role are flawed. Unless we are advocating that TV reflect life as it ought to be and not as it is, such shows looks [sic] pretty realistic to me.

5. The Economics of Television's "Neighborhoods"

To paraphrase President Clinton's campaign mantra, perhaps the whiteness of television is due to "the economy, stupid." Several commentators have asserted that the color of the faces we see on any screen, and the way those faces are portrayed, are simply matters of economics. For these observers, the whiteness of television is a product of the law of supply and demand, and nothing more. They argue that if the American public wants to see more faces of color on the small screen acting in serious dramas and high-minded comedies, people will watch City of Angels, Cosby, The Practice, and other shows that presently feature actors of color performing in a dignified manner. Once the demand for these shows becomes manifest, critics argue, advertisers and network executives will request, and producers will produce, more of those shows. According to this line of thinking, the African American audience, which is allegedly starved for positive images, would allow more scatological fare to languish in the ratings.

One anonymous studio executive put the proposition bluntly: "Studio executives will lend half an ear to a social case, but the bottom line is that the corporate suits are running a business, and business is about potential profits ... Develop a business case and you will bring about change." Television producer Steven Bochco articulated this economic analysis in discussing the diversity problem: "I don't think racism is an issue. I think economics is an issue ... When things that you try don't succeed, there's a reluctance to try them again. When they do succeed, you try them over and over again."

One flaw in this economic argument concerns the "one try and out" phenomenon that afflicts programs featuring actors of color. If a show with a minority-oriented theme fails on network television, television executives and producers cite that experience as proof such shows can never succeed. Consequently, the theme is not tried again for long periods of time, if ever.
One can see the "one try and out" phenomenon at work in discussion surrounding the ratings "struggle" of City of Angels. While it ranks second among African American viewers, City of Angels ranks eighty-sixth among white viewers. This translates into an overall ranking of seventy-fourth, a result defined as struggling, because a show on the three major networks is not successful unless it appeals to the "crossover audience." 

One should note the "one try and out" tenor of the argument. Prior to the premiere of City of Angels, there was speculation that if the show did not attract a "mainstream" audience, future attempts to create minority-centered dramas would be met with resistance from network executives. Indeed, there is speculation that CBS' recent decision not to broadcast American Family, a drama about a Latino family, is directly related to the ratings struggle of City of Angels.

Contrast these experiences with the case of the show Grapevine, which first aired in 1992. This show starred white characters and featured "the sexual shenanigans of 20-somethings on South Beach." In its initial outing, Grapevine was canceled after six episodes. In the spring of 2000, the show was brought back despite that failure, on the theory it was "ahead of its time." Shows featuring actors of color, or with strong minority group themes, are never given the benefit of speculation that they were "ahead of the curve." Shows that feature people of color do not receive multiple chances if the show fails the first time. If more people of color had power in television, perhaps quality shows featuring them would receive the same opportunities to succeed.

III. The Lack of Faces of Color on Television and in Television: Does It Really Matter?

The statistical underrepresentation of people of color in front of and behind the camera is indisputable. The question protestors of all colors must answer at this point is, "So what?" Given that people of color are underrepresented, and at times misrepresented, on television comedies and dramas, why should we care? Do the dramas and comedies we see on television really make any difference in issues of social justice? Can the paucity of color in the entertainment lineups of network television be linked, in any persuasive way, to the problems of racial harmony we face today? Is it plausible that California voters' willingness to pass Proposition 187 was, in part, attributable to the way Spanish-speaking people are portrayed on the small screen?

The United States Commission on Civil Rights began to explore these questions with its 1977 report acknowledging that "relatively little is known about the ways in which the stereotyped images of men and women and of whites and nonwhites affect viewers' beliefs, attitudes, and behavior." The Commission did note one study that concluded white children who had little or no contact with African Americans were most likely to believe that portrayals of black people on television were realistic. The Commission revisited the question of the impact of racial stereotypes in television in 1979, when it published Window Dressing on the Set: An Update. In a section entitled "The Effect of the Portrayal of Minorities and Women on Children," the Commission discussed children's perceptions of people of color on television.

More recently, the federal government again manifested its belief that television shows are a major influence on people's behavior. In 1997, Congress approved a one-billion-dollar anti-drug advertising campaign. Under this campaign, the networks had to agree to sell advertising time to the government at half price, essentially granting the government advertising time worth two billion dollars. The White House Office of National Drug Policy subsequently created a program whereby the government gave up some of the advertising time it had purchased in exchange for getting anti-drug messages included in specific shows.

While the federal government has used the power of television in an attempt to alter behavior, other observers are not convinced that television affects people in such a way. Author Paul Monaco questions the proposition that television images influence how people behave:

The claims about media effects are based upon a specious model of human intelligence, reasoning, and development. In essence, claims about media effects have to endorse assumptions about learning that are tenuous and fallacious. After all, even when people are consciously trying to learn material the rate of their retention is strikingly low: measured at 10
percent for what normal subjects read, 20 percent for what is heard, and barely 30 percent for what is seen. The notion that humans are slavishly imitating behaviors that they see in the movies or on television is laughable, except that it is taken so seriously. n106

[*116] Monaco questions the assumptions, techniques, and conclusions of social science researchers who have concluded that television has a profound influence on behavior and beliefs. n107

Despite Mr. Monaco's skepticism, many social scientists agree with the government that television exerts a substantial influence on our society. n108 Because the prevailing view is that a large amount of power to shape society resides in the hands of television executives, directors, and writers, it is imperative that remedies are found to remake the composition of television shows and the executive suites that produce them. Organizations that represent people of color have an obligation to seek out possible remedies in order to reshape society's perception of them.

IV. Is There an Effective Remedy Available?

If we accept that television programs shape racial attitudes, the question facing advocacy organizations for people of color is whether they have effective tools available to ensure that television's neighborhoods, whether they appear in comedies or dramas, are never again whitewashed. There are at least five potential tools that might fit that job description. Four of those tools are legal, while the fifth is political.

Legally, advocates of color can resort to the Communications Act of 1934, which authorizes the licensing of television broadcasters. n109 Pursuant to the Act, two tools may provide specific relief. The first tool was created by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the agency charged with enforcing the mandates of the Communications Act. n110 The FCC requires that licensees pursue diversity in their hiring practices, distributing new licenses with an eye toward increasing diversity of ownership. n111 These mandates directly address the absence of diversity in the executive suites and production studios of television broadcasters. n112

The second tool would directly attack licenses held by broadcasters. Organizations of color would focus on network programming, arguing that such programming, to the extent it does not fairly represent the diversity of American society, is contrary to the public interest. Thus, people of color would have an avenue by which gain footing in the television industry.

Another pair of tools is available under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII). n113 The avenues of attack would be straightforward: persons of color in the television industry could bring a claim of disparate impact, using as support the statistics that document the racial disparities in hiring that exist in the industry. n114 In addition, some persons of color may have situations that lend themselves to a disparate treatment claim. This approach may be especially appropriate for redressing the problems of breakdowns. n115

Finally, advocates of color have a nonlegal tool--public pressure wielded primarily by the threat of boycotts. This remedy has three advantages. First, it is not tied to any legal argument. Second, it can be directed toward problems in front of and behind the camera. Third, it can more easily be used to improve the quality of programming that does feature performers of color. Therefore, advocates of color may flex their political strength through boycotts while pursuing legal options to increase diversity in television programming.

A. Communications Act of 1934

1. Enhancing Diversity Behind the Camera

Perhaps the most promising and least problematic remedy for correcting the whiteness of television is to address the lack of faces of color behind the camera. Indeed, the Supreme Court concluded in Metro Broadcasting, Inc. v. FCC117 that the FCC is empowered to correct that situation. n118 In Metro Broadcasting, two FCC policies were under attack. n119 The first policy awarded an enhancement for minority ownership in comparative proceedings for new broadcasting licenses. n120 The second policy declared that a class of minority-owned radio and television broadcast stations could only be transferred to other minority-controlled firms. n121 The FCC defended these policies by arguing that there is a legitimate nexus between race and expression. n122 Based upon that conclusion, the FCC argued the licensing scheme was consistent with its duty "to achieve the public interest in programming content without direct content regulation." n123

In a 5-4 decision, the Supreme Court upheld the FCC's right to enforce both policies. n124 The majority opinion concluded that the FCC's policies advanced important First Amendment interests. n125 "The interest in enhancing
broadcast diversity is, at the very least, an important governmental objective... The diversity of views and information on the airwaves serves important First Amendment values... The benefits redound to all members of the viewing and listening audience.”

The Metro Broadcasting opinion is encouraging, because the majority's reasoning agrees with a criticism leveled by people of color within the entertainment industry. They argue that the racial composition of the power structure behind the camera is a major cause of problems in front of the camera. For example, Paris Barclay, an African American director and co-producer of the new, predominantly African American television drama City of Angels, notes that "most of the people who develop and oversee network television shows are white males who live in Malibu, Brentwood or Bel Air. They don't know a lot of black people, and they're not interested in really writing those kinds of characters.”

Kevin Williamson, whose "experience of New York City" is devoid of people of color, agrees with Barclay. When questioned about the absence of diversity on his and other shows slated for the 1999 season, Williamson stated, "If we're not seeing enough diversity on television, I would encourage us to get some more diverse storytellers so that they can create these stories.” If people of color filled more positions both in front of and behind the camera, perhaps the power structure in Hollywood would change.

The supposition that broadening the color spectrum of the people working behind the camera will increase the number of faces of color appearing on the small screen is supported by the experience of gays on television. Just three years ago, Ellen DeGeneres acknowledged that she was a lesbian and was able to incorporate that dynamic into her show, generating tremendous publicity. Now, news stories comment on the expanding number of shows on television featuring gay characters. The result of the growing presence of gay people in positions of power at the networks and production studios is the increasing casting of gays in prominent roles on television shows. As the Media Director of the Gay & Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation put it, "People in the industry are realizing: As a gay person in a position to put a character on the air, if I don't do it, no one will.”

The facts support the FCC's conclusion that improving diversity behind the camera will enhance the diversity of the performers appearing in front of the camera. Unfortunately, the current drive against affirmative action in politics and the courts does not bode well for the continued vitality of Metro Broadcasting. In a case fraught with ominous implications for any attempt to enhance diversity thorough the FCC's regulatory power, one federal circuit court has held that Metro Broadcasting is no longer good law. Leading up to the D.C. Circuit's decision in Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod v. FCC, the FCC had ruled that the church violated the Commission's equal employment opportunity regulations because it used religious hiring preferences and because its recruitment of minority employees was inadequate. The FCC regulations forbid discrimination by licensees "because of race, color, religion, national origin or sex,” and required licensees to adopt affirmative action plans targeted to attract people of color and women. The church argued the affirmative action component of the regulations was a violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fifth Amendment. The FCC concluded that its regulations were valid as against the constitutional challenge, because they were necessary to achieve its objective of fostering diverse programming content.

The D.C. Circuit agreed with the church. Because the Commission did not define precisely how the regulations would promote broadcast diversity, the court did not hesitate to supply its own definition of the Commission's objective. The court stated, "The more appropriate articulation would seem the more particular: the fostering of programming that reflects minority viewpoints or appeals to minority tastes.” Having defined the FCC's diversity objective, the court held that this objective could not satisfy the strict scrutiny standard of review:

"We do not think diversity can be elevated to the "compelling" level, particularly when the Court has given every indication of wanting to cut back Metro Broadcasting... We doubt, however, that the Constitution permits the government to take account of racially based differences, much less encourage them. One might well think such an approach antithetical to our democracy.

The FCC has, however, continued to fight for diversity behind the camera. Responding to the Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod ruling, the FCC promulgated new rules reiterating its insistence that licensees must aggressively pursue diversity. Acknowledging the difficulties presented by the ruling, the new FCC regulations do not mandate affirmative action. They do, however, require licensees to provide equal employment opportunities, and
mandate outreach programs and management training to enhance compliance with the equal opportunity in employment mandate. The regulations provide for FCC review of each licensee's employment practices, and require licensees to keep extensive records documenting its recruitment and outreach practices, or a statistical record of the ethnicity and gender of applicants for each full-time job vacancy filled by the licensee. The regulations allow the public to file complaints with the FCC based upon a licensee's failure to comply and to base opposition to the renewal of a license on such failure.

2. Enhancing Diversity in Front of the Camera

In articulating the NAACP response to the lack of faces of color in the fall 1999 television lineup, NAACP President Kweisi Mfume stated that he and other leaders of the NAACP were considering filing a claim against one or more of the networks under the Communications Act of 1934. The legal basis for the proposed challenge was that broadcasting licenses are held under a public trust. Mfume reasoned that the public trust is violated when television does not fairly represent people of color, either numerically or thematically.

In theory, this proposed legal attack on network television licenses looks promising. Each network owns several television stations. Those broadcasting licenses are incredibly valuable, because there is a limited number of over-the-air channels available to broadcast television images. The licenses are granted by the FCC and held by television stations and networks, pursuant to the Communications Act. The Act declares that the FCC's licensing power is to be exercised to safeguard the "public convenience, interest, or necessity."

Mfume's argument fits comfortably within this statutory language. One can argue persuasively that television programming portraying multicultural cities as exclusive bastions of young white professionals does not fairly represent how the races regularly interact with each other, or fairly represent life in the United States today. Even if television's writers, directors, and producers have visions of an all-white world, their failure to address issues such as diversity, race relations, and racism is problematic. To borrow from the language of the Communications Act, the networks' failure to fairly portray people of color does not serve the public interest. Hence, their television station licenses should be revoked or suspended.

This argument was effectively articulated by Guy Aoki, President of the Media Action Network for Asian Americans:

Television should reflect not only the diversity of people in everyday life, but the diversity of the jobs and positions they hold as well. When they [television executives] realize the different story-line possibilities this opens up, they'll understand that including people of color in their casts is not a creative imposition--it's a creative opportunity.

Based upon the public interest language of the Communications Act, the FCC for many years enforced the fairness doctrine, which held that broadcasters were required to meet minimum standards of "fairness" in order to retain their licenses. Among its standards was an obligation that broadcast stations provide fair coverage of differing views. This doctrine would, of course, lend strength to the attack on the exclusion and misrepresentation of people of color in television.

The fairness doctrine was repealed by the FCC in 1987 in its Syracuse Peace Council decision, when the agency concluded that "the fairness doctrine contravenes the First Amendment and thereby disserves the public interest." Despite the FCC's abandonment of the fairness doctrine, one could, as Kweisi Mfume suggested, mount an attack by filing complaints with the FCC seeking to revoke the networks' station licenses. These complaints would state that the networks' failure to fairly present and portray people of color is not in the public interest. However, the FCC's repeal of the fairness doctrine poses a virtually impregnable barrier to that attack.

A case recently decided by the Eighth Circuit illustrates the difficulty of successfully making this argument. In Arkansas AFL-CIO v. FCC, a coalition of groups filed a complaint with the FCC alleging that a television station violated the fairness doctrine in its coverage of a ballot issue in Arkansas. The FCC dismissed the complaint, reaffirming its decision to repeal the fairness doctrine. The Eighth Circuit upheld the FCC decision, explicitly holding that any attempt to argue the fairness doctrine in court must fail because of the agency's determination that the fairness doctrine is no longer required:
Under the 1934 Communications Act, the FCC is charged with interpreting the statutory mandate that stations operate in the public interest. For years, the FCC interpreted "operate in the public interest" as requiring the fairness doctrine. However, it is within the FCC's discretion to alter its interpretation of "operate in the public interest" in light of changed circumstances...

... In Syracuse Peace Council, the D.C. Circuit credited the FCC's testimony that the dramatic increase in media outlets since 1959 eliminated the need for the fairness doctrine. In addition, the FCC presented testimony that the fairness doctrine actually chilled speech. [*125] We think this kind of judgment about the way the world of broadcasting works is precisely the type of determination that the FCC is better equipped to make than are the courts... Therefore, while Syracuse Peace Council does not bind this court, we agree with that well-reasoned decision. n170

An additional barrier to using the public interest language of the Communications Act is the principle that federal courts should defer to the FCC's expertise, since it is the administrative agency charged with enforcing the Act. n171 Even before the repeal of the fairness doctrine, one federal court held that because there are "difficult problems with how and when [the fairness doctrine] should be applied[,] ... there is particular reason to defer to the agency established to exercise supervision." n172 Given the FCC's rejection of the fairness doctrine, the presence of potential First Amendment issues, and the federal courts' willingness to defer to the FCC, it is improbable filing challenges to network broadcasting licenses will be successful.

B. Title VII n173

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination in employment based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. n174 The lack of diversity both behind and in front of the camera may be addressed through the provisions of Title VII. The purpose of this section is to briefly explore some of the possible applications of Title VII to the problem of television diversity, as well as the limitations of this approach.

Title VII prohibits both intentional and unintentional discrimination. n175 Intentional discrimination under Title VII can be proven with either direct or indirect evidence. n176 The method for proving cases of intentional discrimination where direct evidence is present is fairly straightforward. However, the incidence of this kind of case has decreased over time and most cases are now brought under Title VII using circumstantial evidence. n177

In McDonnell-Douglas Corp. v. Green, n178 the Supreme Court developed a burden-shifting analysis to deal with the complexities of circumstantial evidence. The basic outline of the burden-shifting framework is a three-step analysis. First, the plaintiff is required to make a prima facie showing of discrimination. n179 Once the plaintiff has done so, the burden of production shifts to the defendant to articulate a legitimate nondiscriminatory reason for the action. n180 The plaintiff is then free to argue that the reason articulated by the defendant was pretext. n181

To make a prima facie showing under Title VII of race-based discrimination in hiring, the plaintiff must prove the following: that the plaintiff is a member of a racial minority; that the plaintiff applied for the job and was qualified for the job for which the employer was seeking to hire an employee; that despite the plaintiff's qualifications, the employer did not hire the plaintiff; and, after the rejection, the employer continued to seek applicants with the plaintiff's qualifications. n182

In addition to finding liability where the defendant was involved in intentional discrimination, Title VII has been expanded to provide liability when the discrimination is not intentional, but where some activity has a disproportionate impact on members of a protected class. n183 This is known as a disparate impact claim, and the standard method of proving such a case is through the use of statistics demonstrating that the employer's practices had a disproportionate impact on a protected class. n184

[*127]

1. Enhancing Diversity Behind the Camera

As previously discussed, the statistics documenting underrepresentation of people of color in the ranks of writers, directors, producers, and executives are very strong. n185 This kind of statistical evidence could be used to support a prima facie showing of discrimination under the disparate treatment branch of employment discrimination law. n186
In International Brotherhood of Teamsters v. United States, the Supreme Court stated that statistics can prove strong enough to support a prima facie showing of discrimination:

Our cases make it unmistakably clear that "statistical analyses have served and will continue to serve an important role" in cases in which the existence of discrimination is a disputed issue... We have repeatedly approved the use of statistical proof, where it reached proportions comparable to those in this case, to establish a prima facie case of racial discrimination in jury selection cases ... Statistics are equally competent in proving employment discrimination.

Similarly, the statistics documenting the racial disparity in the television industry may be strong enough to prove prima facie case. However, once a prima facie case is made, the burden shifts to the employer to articulate a legitimate, non-discriminatory business reason for the disparity. Even if the employer is not successful in doing so, they may still escape liability based on the "business necessity" defense.

2. Enhancing Diversity in Front of the Camera by Attacking Racial Disparities in Casting

By and large, the courts and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) have not directly addressed the legal questions raised by racial disparities in casting. However, on its face, Title VII seems to provide an available remedy for actors of color who are unfairly excluded from television roles. Plaintiffs could potentially rely on either the disparate treatment or disparate impact theories of discrimination under Title VII. Given the nature of casting calls, the easiest approach may be the disparate treatment route. The major problem with this approach is not the prima facie case, which should be fairly easy to establish, but the possibility that the employer could argue a bona fide occupational qualification (BFOQ).

The BFOQ defense to disparate treatment is narrow, and notably does not include an exception for race. Despite the fact that there is no such exception, there is controversy in the entertainment community over race-specific casting. In addition, there is evidence that the legislature and the EEOC might support a race-based BFOQ in limited situations, including acting. This kind of argument would raise some serious questions about the nature of the entertainment industry, raising complicated questions about the rights of writers, producers, and directors to express themselves, and the rights of actors to find employment. Currently, however, no such defense exists.

In addition to the possible disparate treatment claims actor-plaintiffs may bring, actor-plaintiffs could rely upon the statistical disparities in the entertainment industry to show that the hiring practices of the television industry have a disparate impact on actors of color. The employer could, however, respond by stating that casting a certain race in a certain role is a business necessity and thus escape liability. The business necessity defense raises some of the same issues present in the BFOQ defense. The business necessity defense has the added benefit for plaintiffs of being a complete defense to liability. However, it has the additional burden of being legitimately influenced by customer preferences.

Part of the reason there are no clear answers on this issue is that no cases squarely address the topic. Writers who have examined the issue of racial casting have advanced two plausible explanations for the absence of legal challenges to the disparities that have been documented. One argument is that people who are excluded from television and other entertainment industry jobs do not bring discrimination claims because they believe television, movies, and the arts in general are immune from discrimination law. A second view asserts that affected artists view casting decisions as matters of artistic freedom that are protected by the First Amendment and therefore immune to legal scrutiny for discrimination.

C. Of Boycotts and "Brownouts"

In response to the lack of characters of color in fall television lineups, several organizations of color called for boycotts or "brownouts." Historically, the boycott has occasionally been an effective weapon against racism, discrimination and social injustice. The D.W. Griffith movie, Birth of A Nation, glorifies the Ku Klux Klan and demonizes African Americans. In 1915, when its racist content became known, W.E.B. DuBois and the fledgling NAACP mounted protests, calling for boycotts and censorship of the film across the United States. Those efforts enjoyed immediate success in Pasadena, California and Wilmington, Delaware, where showing of the film was blocked. In Chicago, the board of censors temporarily banned the film. In New York, the NAACP successfully lobbied the censorship board into ordering the removal of some scenes.
soundtrack, the NAACP convinced the governor of Massachusetts to ban its showing. During the 1960s, boycotts were effectively used in combating segregation in the South. In the 1970s and 1980s, Cesar Chavez and the United Farmworkers Union used boycotts to educate the public about the deplorable working conditions for farm workers, and to promote the workers' right to organize. This movement initially succeeded in California, which in 1975 enacted the Agricultural Labor Relations Act. That victory was later duplicated in Arizona, Idaho and Kansas.

More recently, consumer boycotts by people of color helped convince the Disney Company to first apologize, and then settle litigation involving a promotion by one of its radio stations giving away "Black Hoes," a double entendre intended to evoke images of Black whores. Similarly, in 1999, two African American radio show hosts organized a successful boycott and write-in campaign against CompUSA, which allegedly refused to advertise on radio stations owned by or aimed at African Americans.

D. The Protests and Brownouts over the Fall 1999 Lineup

In this era of media mega-corporations and rampant consumerism, will boycotts and brownouts influence the major networks to diversify? It is questionable whether a well-organized boycott would have a significant impact on these multinational corporations. Another question is whether people who want networks to change their programming would heed a call to turn off their televisions.

After the controversy over the fall 1999 lineup erupted, a coalition of groups representing people of color called for a "brownout" during the week of September 12. When the brownout was announced, Texas state representative Ciro Rodriguez expressed fear it would be ineffective. One student at Howard University, a historically black institution, was skeptical of the impact the brownout would have: "I don't think the boycott will make that big a difference, as long as there are enough white people watching." Another student, while expressing optimism that the boycott would be successful in bringing attention to the issue, stated he would not actively participate in the boycott.

It appears Representative Rodriguez's fears were well founded. The call for a brownout was widely reported in the press and publicized on the Internet. The press reported that the campaign provoked little concern among industry executives, and Nielsen Media Research reported no significant decline in ratings during the targeted week.

In terms of lending credibility to the idea of a boycott or brownout of television, part of the problem is the level of penetration television has made, at least in the African American community. While the average American household watches television approximately fifty hours per week, African American households average seventy hours per week. Also, by some measures, African Americans are, per capita, America's biggest consumers.

Happily, television network executives reacted favorably to public and private pressure. After several rounds of discussion with a coalition of advocacy groups, each major network agreed to a wide range of reforms aimed at improving diversity at both ends of the camera. NBC, for example, agreed to place at least one writer of color on each of its second-year series, to initiate a minority hiring program, and to seek out and hire qualified directors of color. ABC agreed "to foster minority representation at all levels ... through grants, existing internship programs and by more aggressively identifying whether minority candidates have been considered in the job interview process," and by tying management bonuses to management's performance in these areas.

V. Conclusion

The protests and negotiations over the past year have resulted in some tangible gains. There was an increase in the number of roles for African American actors in the fall 2000 television series lineup. Asian Americans and Latinos, however, remained largely invisible. The networks have also promised to address the absence of people of color in the creative process by training more writers and producers, and by actively seeking to employ writers and producers of color already established in the business.
These mixed results are encouraging. Certainly, the consciousness of the entertainment industry has been raised regarding the importance of diversity on both sides of the camera. The question now is, AWhere should people of color go from here?

First, monitoring and constant vigilance over the agreements with networks are an absolute necessity. Turnover in the management suites of television networks means that promises made by today's executives can quickly be forgotten by tomorrow's replacements. The emphasis on profit makes vigilance even more important. The statements of some within the industry make clear that while the goal of diversity is "socially desirable," networks will pursue it only in the context of programs that are successful in generating revenue for the networks. If today's City of Angels struggles in the ratings or tomorrow's new program, featuring an actor of color in a prominent and serious role, fails, the networks may abandon the pursuit of diversity. So advocacy groups must insist that producers and writers of color and shows with minority themes be given the same chance to fail and "try again" that networks extend to others shows and artists.

Second, groups should explore the options of filing litigation under Title VII and pursuing administrative action before the FCC, should networks fail to live up to the promises made in those agreements. The employment statistics for actors, writers and producers of color in the television industry present a ready-made prima facie showing of employment discrimination under Title VII. Statistics on the hiring practices of broadcast licensees should become more complete and readily available in light of the FCC regulations requiring extensive record-keeping. The explanations offered publicly by television's present executives, writers, and directors for their failure to create more roles for people of color would not provide satisfactory defenses to charges of unlawful employment discrimination. The threat of action under Title VII, if the networks do not correct current conditions, should create adequate leverage for securing more diversity.

The FCC insists that diversity of viewpoint is a valid objective of its broadcast licensing regulation. This allows advocates of color to threaten to challenge network licenses in order to ensure compliance with the promises made in fall 2000. Resorting to the FCC for relief by relying upon its equal opportunity regulations has two benefits. First, the FCC is unquestionably a more receptive audience for claims of racial discrimination than the federal judiciary, given the latter's current makeup. Second, pursuit of administrative remedies will likely require fewer financial and legal resources on the part of advocacy organizations.

Finally, public pressure on the networks must be maintained. Because of concerns over reputation, television networks seem especially responsive to public criticism on issues of diversity. As this past year's episode has demonstrated, a concerted public campaign demanding greater diversity does yield positive results. To ensure the effectiveness of public campaigns for diversity on and in television, advocates of color must formulate and clearly articulate persuasive objectives. The limited objective of securing more employment for actors, writers, directors, and producers of color is certainly legitimate and laudable. That goal is not, however, the kind of incentive necessary to convince people to turn off their television sets and limit their spending.

The campaign for diversity must focus on the societal impact of television's portrayal of people of color, or its lack thereof. Advocates must emphasize how ubiquitous television is, and how the images it projects shape the attitudes of all members of society. Advocates must never lose sight of the importance of a presence behind the camera and in all facets of the creative process, for these form the foundations upon which the images and concepts that flicker across the small screen are formed. When people of color gain access to the board rooms and studios where television's images are dreamed up and produced, those images and concepts are bound to become more diverse, and the messages transmitted about people of color will be, as a whole, more positive.

To have maximum effectiveness, a grassroots campaign for diversity in television must secure commitments from substantial majorities of the affected communities. If advocates of color can convince half of their constituents to turn off WB programs until they become less stereotypical, or to refuse to visit Disneyland or Disney World until ABC lives up to its promise to diversify its programs and creative management staffs, people of color will transmit a powerful message to network executives. Unless that message is communicated to television's decision makers, the saga of 1999 is destined to repeat itself.
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